

What are the Risks of Death in Elderly Patients after a Proximal Femur Fracture at Aristide le Dantec Hospital?

Fodé Ibrahima Kourala Keita^{1*}, Pape Alkaly Diouf¹, Alioune Badara Dione¹, Badara Dembélé², Charles Bertin Diéme²

¹Hôpital Aristide le Dantec, Dakar, Senegal

²Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal

Email: kourala87@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Keita, F.I.K., Diouf, P.A., Dione, A.B., Dembélé, B. and Diéme, C.B. (2024) What are the Risks of Death in Elderly Patients after a Proximal Femur Fracture at Aristide le Dantec Hospital? *Open Journal of Orthopedics*, **14**, 461-469. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojo.2024.1411042>

Received: October 4, 2024

Accepted: November 10, 2024

Published: November 13, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Introduction: Proximal femur fractures are traumatic injuries involving the neck and trochanteric region of the femur. The aim was to identify risk factors for death following proximal femur fractures in elderly patients. **Patients and methods:** This was a prospective, observational, longitudinal study conducted over an 18-month period in the Orthopedics-Traumatology Department of Aristide Le Dantec Hospital in Dakar. We evaluated a total of 93 patients aged at least 60 years with a proximal femur fracture whose date of onset was less than 3 weeks. The identification of risk factors for death took into account socio-demographic, clinical and therapeutic parameters. **Results:** The number of patients who died was 19 (20.43%). There was no significant difference in mortality according to age, gender or residence. There was no association between admission time and mortality. The association between the number of comorbidities and mortality was significant. The association between mortality and ASA score and type of treatment was highly significant. Operating time had no influence on mortality. Type of anesthesia, on the other hand, did influence mortality. **Conclusion:** Mortality due to proximal femur fractures in the elderly remains high. In our practice, this mortality was related to the presence of comorbidity, impaired cognitive function, ASA score, type of treatment and type of anesthesia.

Keywords

Elderly, Proximal Femur Fracture, Risk of Death

1. Introduction

Proximal femur fractures are traumatic injuries involving the neck and trochanteric

region of the femur. Their occurrence in the elderly is particularly distressing for patients and their families. Long considered rare in Africa, proximal femur fractures are becoming a reality [1]-[4].

They are associated with high mortality to the point of being considered the way elderly people die. The aim of this study was to assess the risk factors for death in the elderly following proximal femur fracture at Aristide le Dantec Hospital.

2. Patients and Methods

This was a prospective, observational, longitudinal study conducted over an 18-month period in the Orthopedics-Traumatology unit of the Surgical Emergency Department at Hôpital Aristide Le Dantec.

The source population consisted of patients admitted to the Orthopedics and Traumatology Unit of the Surgical Emergency Department. These patients were aged 15 or over, irrespective of the type of emergency. There were 3875 of them.

The target population was patients with proximal femur fractures (142 patients).

The study included patients with proximal femur fractures aged at least 60 years and whose fracture date was less than 3 weeks. Fractures more than 3 weeks old were not included in the study, because they were not seen in the surgical emergency department, but rather in a regular consultation in the Orthopaedics-Traumatology Department. Patients lost to follow-up (6 patients) were excluded from the study.

This enabled us to select a total of 93 patients. Mortality was measured by taking into account socio-demographic, clinical and therapeutic characteristics (Tables 1-3).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics.

Features	Number	Percentage
Age		
Seniors	45	48.4
Older people	44	47.3
Grand old men	4	4.3
Type		
Male	50	53.7
Female	43	46.2
Place of residence		
Urban	75	80.6
Rural	18	19.3

Table 2. Clinical features.

Features	Number	Percentage
Admission deadline		

Continued

<48 h	67	72
>48 h	26	28
Comorbidities		
0	17	18.3
1	48	51.6
2	25	26.9
≥3	3	3.2
Cognitive functions		
Normal	83	89.2
Altered	10	10.8
Fracture site		
Femoral neck	50	53.7
Trochanterian massif	38	40.8
Subtrochanteric	5	5.4

Table 3. Therapeutic characteristics.

Features	Number	Percentage
Operating time		
<48 h	17	20.5
>48 h	66	79.5
ASA score		
ASA 1	6	7.2
ASA 2	73	87.5
ASA 3	12	14.4
ASA 4	2	2.4
Anaesthesia performed		
Spinal anaesthesia	65	78.3
RA + AG	10	12
AG	8	9.6
Treatment performed		
Abstention	7	7.8
Osteosynthesis	56	62.2
Arthroplasty	27	30

Of the patients evaluated, seven (7) did not undergo surgery: four (4) for financial reasons and three (3) because surgery was contraindicated. These included five trochanteric fractures and two femoral neck fractures.

Eighty-three (83) patients underwent surgical treatment.

The types of osteosynthesis performed were:

- DHS plate screw for fifty-four (54) patients
- Gamma nail for two (2) patients

All patients with femoral neck fractures underwent intermediate arthroplasty.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 software. Continuous variables were compared using the ANOVA test, and scale variables using Fisher's exact test. The significant threshold was 0.05.

3. Results

The number of patients who died was 19 (20.43%).

In-hospital mortality concerned 3 patients (3.22%). These patients died while awaiting surgery.

Out-of-hospital mortality involved 16 patients (17.20%):

- Nine deaths within 1 month
- Zero deaths in 3 months
- Seven deaths at 6 months.

There was no significant difference in mortality according to age, gender or residence. **Table 4** shows the relationship between mortality and socio-demographic parameters.

There was no association between time to admission and mortality ($P = 0.33$). The association between the number of comorbidities and mortality was significant ($P = 0.04$). **Table 5** shows mortality as a function of clinical parameters.

The relationship between mortality and ASA score and type of treatment was highly significant (**Table 6**).

Operating time had no influence on mortality ($P = 0.11$). Type of anaesthesia, on the other hand, did influence mortality. **Table 7** shows mortality as a function of operating time and anesthesia type.

Table 4. Mortality as a function of socio-demographic parameters.

Variable	Group						P
	Deceased (n = 19)		Survivor (n = 74)		Total (n = 93)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Age							
Gérontin	6	13.3	39	86.7	45	48.4	0.09
Old man	13	29.5	31	70.5	44	47.3	
Grand old man	0	0	4	100	4	4.3	
Type							
Male	8	16	42	84	50	53.7	0.45
Female	11	25.6	32	74.4	43	46.2	
Place of residence							
Urban	17	22.7	58	77.3	75	80.6	0.28
Rural	2	11.1	16	88.9	18	19.4	

Table 5. Mortality by clinical parameter.

Variable	Group						P
	Deceased (n = 19)		Survivor (n = 74)		Total (n = 93)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Admission deadline							
<48 h	12	17.9	55	82.1	67	72	0.33
>48 h	7	26.9	19	73.1	26	28	
Comorbidities							
<3	17	18.9	73	81.1	90	96.8	0.04
>3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	3.2	
Cognitive function							
Normal	10	12	73	88	83	89.2	0.01
Altered	9	90	1	10	10	10.8	
Headquarters							
Trochanterian massif	8	16	42	84	50	53.7	0.16
Femoral neck	11	28.9	27	71.1	38	40.8	
Subtrochanterian	0	0	5	100	5	5.4	

Table 6. Mortality by ASA score and type of treatment.

Variable	Group						P
	Deceased (n = 19)		Survivor (n = 74)		Total (n = 93)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
ASA score							
ASA1	0	0	6	100	6	7.2	0.01
ASA2	13	17.8	60	82.2	73	87.5	
ASA3	4	33.3	8	66.7	12	14.4	
ASA4	2	100	0	0	2	2.4	
Type of treatment							
Surgical abstention	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	7.8	0.01
Osteosynthesis	4	7.1	52	92.9	56	62.2	
Arthroplasty	7	25.9	20	74.1	27	30	

Table 7. Mortality by operative time and type of anaesthesia.

Variable	Group						P
	Deceased (n = 11)		Survivor (n = 72)		Total (n = 83)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Operating time							
<48 h	1	5.9	16	94.1	17	20.5	0.11

Continued

>48 h	10	15.1	56	84.8	66	79.5	
Type of anesthesia							
RA	4	6.1	61	93.8	65	78.3	
RA + AG	3	30	7	70	10	12	0.01
AG	4	50	4	50	8	9.6	

RA = Rachianesthesia AG = General anesthesia.

4. Discussion

The mortality rate we found was close to that reported by other authors [5]-[7].

By age, the highest mortality rate was found among the elderly, representing more than twice the mortality rate of the geriatric population. There were no deaths among the very old. Advanced age is a predictive factor for mortality in hip fractures [7]. In our study, there was no correlation between age and mortality.

Female mortality was higher than male. The study by Hebattu-allah *et al.* [5] reported a male predominance. Most studies agree on the predominance of males in proximal femur fracture mortality [8] [9]. Gender had no influence on mortality in our series.

We recorded twice as many deaths among patients living in urban areas. This may be explained by the greater frequency of comorbidities in urban patients than in those living in rural areas. It would appear that proximal femur fractures are an urban condition, and that comorbidities are more frequent in urban patients [10]. However, this study does not show a significant link between mortality and place of residence.

Late patient admission is considered a factor contributing to increased morbidity and mortality, due to the risk of embolic disorders [11]. In the present study, we found no correlation between admission delay and mortality rate. Clague *et al.* [12] made the same observation.

This study showed a correlation between mortality and the number of comorbidities. Roche *et al.* [13] found that the risk of death within 30 days post-op was high for patients with three (3) or more comorbidities.

Patients with impaired cognitive function had higher mortality. The assessment of our patients' cognitive functions was inspired by the Senegal test, a screening test for dementia in the elderly [14].

The presence of delirium or dementia prior to or following proximal femur fracture in the elderly is recognized as a poor prognostic factor. This fact has been reported by several authors [15] [16].

The mortality rate for trochanteric fractures is slightly higher than for cervical fractures. This result differs from that reported by Hebattu-allah [5]. He found a higher mortality rate for cervical fractures. Fracture site has no influence on mortality.

The mortality rate was proportional to the ASA score. The higher the ASA

score, the higher the mortality. This observation was made by Usoigwe *et al.* [17]. The ASA score is a powerful predictor of hip fracture mortality in the elderly.

The mortality rate of patients operated on 72 hours after the trauma was at least three times higher than that of patients operated on between 24 and 48 hours. This difference was not statistically significant.

There is no consensus on the relationship between surgical delay and mortality in this condition. Some authors report a benefit of early surgery [17] [18]. Others have found that surgical delay has very little impact on mortality [19].

As far as we are concerned, early or delayed surgery, the most important thing is to rigorously manage the comorbidities that lead to death.

The benefits of surgical management of proximal femur fractures are well established [20]-[22]. Surgery avoids complications that can lead to death. Non-operative treatment carries a high mortality risk. It is reserved for exceptional contraindications, such as elderly, frail, high-risk patients [21] [22].

In our context, lack of financial resources was a reason for non-operative treatment.

The mortality rate for patients undergoing surgery was higher for arthroplasties.

High mortality was recorded in patients who underwent spinal anesthesia combined with general anesthesia. This association was explained by operative difficulties that prolonged the duration of the procedure. Longer operating times increase the risk of morbidity and mortality. The link between type of anesthesia and mortality was significant.

5. Conclusion

Mortality due to proximal femur fractures in the elderly remains high. In our practice, this mortality was related to the presence of comorbidity, cognitive impairment, ASA score, type of treatment and type of anesthesia.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Baidoo, P.K., Ocloo, A. and Ansu, V. (2022) The Pattern of Hip Fractures over a Ten-Year Period in a Major Referral Centre in Ghana. *Postgraduate Medical Journal of Ghana*, **7**, 98-104. <https://doi.org/10.60014/pmjpg.v7i2.175>
- [2] Tsabasvi, M., Davey, S. and Temu, R. (2017) Hip Fracture Pattern at a Major Tanzanian Referral Hospital: Focus on Fragility Hip Fractures. *Archives of Osteoporosis*, **12**, Article No. 47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11657-017-0338-z>
- [3] Paruk, F., Matthews, G. and Cassim, B. (2017) Osteoporotic Hip Fractures in Black South Africans: A Regional Study. *Archives of Osteoporosis*, **12**, Article No. 107. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11657-017-0409-1>
- [4] El Maghraoui, A., Koumba, B.A., Jroundi, I., Achemlal, L., Bezza, A. and Tazi, M.A. (2004) Epidemiology of Hip Fractures in 2002 in Rabat, Morocco. *Osteoporosis*

- International*, **16**, 597-602. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-004-1729-8>
- [5] Zaki, H.E., Mousa, S.M., El Said, S.M.S. and Mortagy, A.K. (2019) Morbidity and Mortality Following Surgery for Hip Fractures in Elderly Patients. *Journal of Aging Research*, **2019**, Article ID: 7084657. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7084657>
- [6] Morri, M., Ambrosi, E., Chiari, P., Orlandi Magli, A., Gazineo, D., D'Alessandro, F., *et al.* (2019) One-Year Mortality after Hip Fracture Surgery and Prognostic Factors: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Scientific Reports*, **9**, Article No. 18718. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55196-6>
- [7] Huette, P., Abou-Arab, O., Djebara, A., Terrasi, B., Beyls, C., Guinot, P., *et al.* (2020) Risk Factors and Mortality of Patients Undergoing Hip Fracture Surgery: A One-Year Follow-Up Study. *Scientific Reports*, **10**, Article No. 9607. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66614-5>
- [8] Wehren, L.E., Hawkes, W.G., Orwig, D.L., Hebel, J.R., Zimmerman, S.I. and Magaziner, J. (2003) Gender Differences in Mortality after Hip Fracture: The Role of Infection. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, **18**, 2231-2237. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2003.18.12.2231>
- [9] Sterling, R.S. (2011) Gender and Race/ethnicity Differences in Hip Fracture Incidence, Morbidity, Mortality, and Function. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research*, **469**, 1913-1918. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1736-3>
- [10] Solbakken, S.M., Magnus, J.H., Meyer, H.E., Dahl, C., Stigum, H., Sogaard, A.J., *et al.* (2019) Urban-Rural Differences in Hip Fracture Mortality: A Nationwide NOREPOS Study. *JBMR Plus*, **3**, e10236. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm4.10236>
- [11] Millineaux, J. (1993) Cutting the Delay Reduces the Risk. Assessment of the Risk of Developing Pressure Sores among Elderly Patients in A&E. *Professional Nurse*, **9**, 22-30.
- [12] Clague, J.E., Craddock, E., Andrew, G., Horan, M.A. and Pendleton, N. (2002) Predictors of Outcome Following Hip Fracture. Admission Time Predicts Length of Stay and In-Hospital Mortality. *Injury*, **33**, 1-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-1383\(01\)00142-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-1383(01)00142-5)
- [13] Roche, J.J.W., Wenn, R.T., Sahota, O. and Moran, C.G. (2005) Effect of Comorbidities and Postoperative Complications on Mortality after Hip Fracture in Elderly People: Prospective Observational Cohort Study. *BMJ*, **331**, 1374. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38643.663843.55>
- [14] Touré, K., Coumé, M., Ndiaye, N.N.D., *et al.* (2008) The Senegal Test: A Valid and Reliable Instrument for Screening for Dementia in a Population of Elderly Senegalese. *African Journal of Neurological Sciences*, **27**, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajns.v27i1.7606>
- [15] Fong, T.G., Tulebaev, S.R. and Inouye, S.K. (2009) Delirium in Elderly Adults: Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, **5**, 210-220. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2009.24>
- [16] Cole, M.G. and Primeau, F.J. (1933) Prognosis of Delirium in Elderly Hospital Patients. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **149**, 41-46.
- [17] Uzoigwe, C.E., Burnand, H.G.F., Cheesman, C.L., Aghedo, D.O., Faizi, M. and Middleton, R.G. (2013) Early and Ultra-Early Surgery in Hip Fracture Patients Improves Survival. *Injury*, **44**, 726-729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2012.08.025>
- [18] Beaupre, L.A., Khong, H., Smith, C., Kang, S., Evens, L., Jaiswal, P.K., *et al.* (2019) The Impact of Time to Surgery after Hip Fracture on Mortality at 30- and 90-Days: Does a Single Benchmark Apply to All? *Injury*, **50**, 950-955.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2019.03.031>

- [19] Moran, C.G., Wenn, R.T., Sikand, M. and Taylor, A.M. (2005) Early Mortality after Hip Fracture: Is Delay before Surgery Important? *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*, **87**, 483-489. <https://doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.d.01796>
- [20] Thorngren, K. (2014) Fractures of the Femoral Neck and Proximal Femur. In: Bentley, G., Ed., *European Surgical Orthopaedics and Traumatology*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2203-2268. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-34746-7_14
- [21] Tay, E. (2016) Hip Fractures in the Elderly: Operative versus Nonoperative Management. *Singapore Medical Journal*, **57**, 178-181. <https://doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2016071>
- [22] van de Ree, C.L.P., De Jongh, M.A.C., Peeters, C.M.M., de Munter, L., Roukema, J.A. and Gosens, T. (2017) Hip Fractures in Elderly People: Surgery or No Surgery? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation*, **8**, 173-180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2151458517713821>