

Hybrid Gatekeeping, Multimedia Storytelling and Trust: Editorial Strategies of Radio Free Africa in Tanzania's Digital Communication Era

Calvin Duttu Jilala, Peter C. Mataba, Dietrick K. Kaijanangoma

School of Journalism and Mass Communication, St. Augustine University of Tanzania, Mwanza, Tanzania
Email: sautmalimbe@saut.ac.tz

How to cite this paper: Jilala, C. D., Mataba, P. C., & Kaijanangoma, D. K. (2026). Hybrid Gatekeeping, Multimedia Storytelling and Trust: Editorial Strategies of Radio Free Africa in Tanzania's Digital Communication Era. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 14, 441-450.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2026.149027>

Received: August 3, 2026

Accepted: September 12, 2026

Published: September 15, 2026

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

This article examines the editorial strategies adopted by Radio Free Africa (RFA), a leading private radio station in Tanzania, to counter the professional, ethical and technological challenges arising from digital communication technologies. Drawing on a qualitative case-study design grounded in interpretivism, the study collected data through in-depth interviews, a focus-group discussion and documentary analysis with 23 purposively selected participants from RFA's editorial, production, digital and management ranks, together with external stakeholders. Findings reveal five interlocking editorial adaptations: (1) accelerated and cloud-enabled newsroom production processes; (2) systematic adoption of mobile journalism and multi-skilled reporting; (3) deliberate cross-platform content distribution and repurposing; (4) institutionalised multi-source fact-checking and verification protocols designed to combat misinformation; and (5) the emergence of multimedia storytelling that integrates audio, video, text and graphics. Participants reported that these strategies have helped RFA maintain institutional credibility and public trust while expanding perceived reach among younger and urban audiences. Persistent tensions remain between speed and accuracy, platform dependency and editorial autonomy, and the intensification of journalistic labour. The article argues that RFA's experience exemplifies a hybrid form of gatekeeping in which professional oversight is retained while participatory and algorithmic forces are selectively incorporated. The findings contribute to African digital journalism scholarship by demonstrating how resource-constrained radio stations can sustain editorial integrity and relevance in a fragmented, high-velocity information environment.

Keywords

Editorial Strategies, Digital Radio, Gatekeeping, Misinformation, Multimedia

1. Introduction

Digital communication technologies have fundamentally disrupted the editorial foundations of conventional broadcasting. Where legacy radio newsrooms once exercised relatively exclusive control over the selection, verification, framing and dissemination of news, the contemporary information environment is characterised by decentralised content creation, algorithmic amplification, real-time audience participation and the rapid circulation of both verified and false information (Bruns, 2008; Bonini & Monclús, 2021; Surjatmodjo et al., 2024). For African radio stations, these global shifts intersect with infrastructural constraints, limited newsroom resources and the continued importance of radio as a trusted source of local information (Mabweazara, 2014; Madrid-Morales & Ireri, 2021; Spurk & Katunzi, 2024).

Radio Free Africa (RFA), a privately owned station headquartered in Mwanza with national and regional reach, provides a revealing case of editorial adaptation under these conditions. Like many conventional broadcasters, RFA has confronted audience fragmentation, the migration of attention to social media, the pressure to publish at platform speed, and the ethical risks associated with user-generated content and artificial intelligence. Yet the station has also sought to preserve the professional standards and community trust that historically distinguished it from purely digital actors.

This article focuses exclusively on the editorial dimension of that adaptation. It asks: What editorial strategies has RFA developed to counter the challenges arising from digital communication technology, and with what implications for journalistic credibility, professional practice and public trust? The analysis is organised around five interrelated strategies that emerged from the empirical data: improved newsroom production processes; adoption of digital tools and mobile journalism; cross-platform content distribution; strengthening of fact-checking and verification mechanisms; and the emergence of multimedia journalism practices. The discussion situates these strategies within broader debates on hybrid gatekeeping, participatory culture and the sustainability of professional journalism in the Global South, with particular attention to Tanzanian radio contexts (Gondwe & Modile, 2024; Mwanzi & Zacharia, 2025).

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Anchoring

Three theoretical resources orient the analysis. First, classical gatekeeping theory (White, 1950; Shoemaker & Vos, 2009) is revisited in light of its digital transformation. Gatekeeping has shifted from a centralised, institutional function to a distributed process involving journalists, audiences, algorithms and platforms (Bruns, 2008; Diang'a & Murunga, 2021). Contemporary scholarship describes this as hy-

brid or networked gatekeeping, in which professional oversight coexists with participatory and algorithmic forces (Scanlon et al., 2020; Pavlik, 2023).

Second, Media Convergence Theory (Jenkins, 2006; Jenkins et al., 2016) supplies the cultural and technological frame for understanding multimedia storytelling, content repurposing and platform-specific production. Convergence is not merely the technical merging of platforms; it is also a cultural shift toward participatory engagement and transmedia narrative practices.

Third, scholarship on misinformation, disinformation and journalistic trust (Ireton & Posetti, 2018; Moyo et al., 2021; Vaccari & Chadwick, 2020) highlights the ethical and epistemic pressures that force newsrooms to reinforce verification protocols even as speed becomes a competitive imperative. Together these resources allow the study to treat editorial strategy as both a professional response to technological disruption and a deliberate effort to sustain credibility in the Tanzanian media landscape, where radio remains a primary information source for many citizens (Spurk & Katunzi, 2024; Green et al., 2024).

3. Methodology

The study adopted an interpretivist paradigm and a qualitative single-case design focused on Radio Free Africa (Yin, 2018; Creswell, 2007). Twenty-three participants were purposively selected. Of these, 12 participated in semi-structured in-depth interviews: four news editors and producers, five field reporters (including two from the Dar es Salaam bureau), two digital-content specialists, and one senior manager. One focus-group discussion (FGD) was conducted with eight participants drawn from production, technical and junior editorial roles. Three external stakeholders one media-regulatory official, one journalism educator, and one long-term RFA listener-representative were also interviewed to provide contextual triangulation.

Recruitment proceeded through institutional gatekeepers at RFA headquarters in Mwanza, who circulated an information sheet and invitation letter. Interested staff contacted the researcher directly. Snowball sampling was used to identify the three external stakeholders. All participants received written information about the study's purpose, confidentiality provisions and voluntary nature, and provided informed consent. Interviews (lasting 45 - 75 minutes) were conducted face-to-face in Mwanza and Dar es Salaam, audio-recorded with permission, and transcribed verbatim. The FGD lasted approximately 90 minutes.

Documentary sources analysed included: (a) RFA's internal editorial guidelines and verification checklists; (b) selected organisational annual reports and strategic plans (2020-2024); (c) Tanzania Communications Regulatory Authority (TCRA) content regulations and relevant circulars; (d) publicly available social-media analytics summaries and website traffic reports shared by the digital team; and (e) internal memos documenting specific verification decisions. These documents informed the thematic findings by supplying institutional rules against which interview accounts could be compared, by revealing formal processes that participants

sometimes described only partially, and by providing concrete illustrations of how verification protocols were applied in practice.

Thematic analysis followed the six-phase approach of [Braun and Clarke \(2006\)](#). After familiarisation with the full dataset, initial open codes were generated inductively in NVivo 14 from interview and FGD transcripts. Codes were then reviewed, refined and clustered into candidate themes through axial coding and constant comparison. Documentary materials were coded against the emerging framework to test and enrich the themes. Through iterative discussion and refinement, the codes consolidated into the five interlocking editorial strategies reported below. Trustworthiness was supported by triangulation of interviews, FGD and documents, member-checking of preliminary findings with two participants, and maintenance of an audit trail. Ethical clearance was obtained from the relevant institutional review board and informed-consent procedures were observed throughout.

4. Findings: Editorial Strategies at Radio Free Africa

Table 1 summarises the five principal editorial strategies, the digital challenges they address, the concrete practices adopted, and the observed effects on newsroom performance and public trust, as reported by participants and corroborated by documentary evidence.

Table 1. Editorial strategies matrix: challenges, practices and effects at Radio Free Africa.

Editorial Strategy	Digital Challenge Addressed	Concrete Practices at RFA	Observed Effects (Participant Reports)
1. Improved Newsroom Production Processes	Slow analogue workflows; inability to cover events in real time across regions	Cloud-based editing; instant transmission from field; coordinated multi-story management	Faster turnaround; greater geographic coverage; improved inter-departmental coordination
2. Mobile Journalism & Digital Tools	Geographic barriers; high production costs; demand for multi-skilled labour	Smartphones, editing apps, Zoom/Skype/WhatsApp interviews; cloud collaboration	Increased reporter autonomy; reduced travel costs; expanded multi-skilling; higher workload
3. Cross-Platform Distribution	Audience fragmentation; competition from social media and on-demand services	Simultaneous publishing on FM, website, YouTube, Facebook Live, podcasts, WhatsApp	Broader perceived reach (especially youth/urban); platform-specific formatting; brand visibility
4. Strengthened Fact-Checking & Verification	Rapid spread of mis/disinformation; erosion of traditional gatekeeping authority	Multi-source cross-checking; official records; on-the-ground corroboration; editorial review before any platform release	Preserved institutional credibility; audiences treat RFA as verification filter; slower but trusted output
5. Multimedia Journalism Practices	Changing audience expectations for visual, interactive and shareable content	Integrated text-audio-video-graphics packages; collaborative newsroom production	Richer storytelling; differentiation from citizen content; enhanced engagement across platforms

4.1. Improved Newsroom Production Processes

Participants consistently reported that digital systems have transformed the speed,

coordination and geographic reach of news production. Cloud-based tools and mobile transmission allow reporters in distant bureaus to file, edit and contribute to live programming without the delays characteristic of analogue workflows. A news editor and producer explained:

“Digital systems have significantly improved the speed and quality of production, making it easier to manage multiple stories simultaneously. We can now receive, edit, and transmit news instantly from different regions, which allows us to cover events as they happen.”

These gains align with broader observations that digital editing systems and mobile journalism technologies have compressed production cycles and enabled real-time reporting (Salaverría, 2019; Bonini, 2019). For a station operating under infrastructural limitations, the ability to coordinate multi-location coverage represents a material improvement in editorial capacity.

4.2. Adoption of Digital Tools and Mobile Journalism

Mobile journalism has become the default mode of field reporting. Journalists rely on smartphones, portable editing applications, cloud storage and video-conferencing platforms (Zoom, Skype, WhatsApp) for interviews, file transfer and real-time collaboration. A reporter from the Dar es Salaam bureau noted:

“We now use smartphones, editing software, and cloud systems for daily reporting... We also conduct interviews through Zoom, Skype, and WhatsApp, which eliminates the need for physical presence in many cases and saves significant time and travel resources.”

This multi-skilling imperative reflects the wider transformation of journalistic labour under convergence (Westlund, 2013; Leung, 2018; Visnovsky & Radosinska, 2015). While efficiency and autonomy increase, so do workload and the demand for continuous professional development. In African contexts, mobile journalism also functions as a pragmatic response to limited resources and difficult terrain (Mabweazara, 2014; Madrid-Morales & Ireri, 2021; Mwanzi & Zacharia, 2025).

4.3. Cross-Platform Content Distribution Strategies

RFA no longer treats terrestrial FM as its sole distribution channel. Content is systematically packaged for the website, YouTube, Facebook Live, podcasts and WhatsApp, with format adjustments for each platform. An FGD participant observed:

“Our content is now distributed across websites, YouTube, Facebook Live, and podcasts to reach audiences on multiple platforms... This strategy has become essential for maintaining relevance and connecting with younger, digitally oriented audiences who might otherwise turn exclusively to TikTok or Instagram for news.”

Cross-platform distribution expands reach while preserving the FM signal for rural listeners who remain less connected to high-bandwidth digital services. Par-

ticipants perceived that this approach has improved visibility among younger and urban audiences; however, the study did not collect independent audience or platform analytics to quantify these changes. It also requires editorial staff to master platform-specific storytelling conventions without diluting core professional standards (Chan-Olmsted et al., 2020; Zhao, 2024; Nindhasari, 2024).

4.4. Strengthening Fact-Checking and Verification Mechanisms

Perhaps the most consequential editorial adaptation is the institutionalisation of rigorous verification. In an environment where false or misleading content spreads rapidly, RFA has made multi-source cross-checking a non-negotiable requirement before any material is released on any platform. This practice is distinguished from routine editorial checking by its explicit multi-source threshold, mandatory documentary or on-the-ground corroboration, and a formal editorial-review step that must be completed before content can appear on digital platforms. Routine checking, by contrast, may rely on a single trusted source or the reporter's own observation for time-sensitive FM bulletins.

A digital editor stated: "We are very careful about verification before publishing anything online because misinformation spreads very quickly on social media platforms. Every piece of information is cross-checked against reliable sources to ensure accuracy and maintain public trust... Human oversight remains critical."

A senior reporter reinforced the same discipline: "Before publishing any content online, we are required to verify all information thoroughly cross-checking with multiple independent sources, consulting official records where available, and seeking corroboration from on-the-ground witnesses. Sharing unverified stories would... seriously damage public trust."

One concrete example illustrates the distinction. In early 2024 a viral social-media claim alleged that a regional official had been suspended for corruption. The claim circulated widely on WhatsApp and Facebook. Under RFA's enhanced protocol the story was withheld pending (i) confirmation from two independent sources, (ii) an official statement or documented record, and (iii) editorial sign-off. The claim was ultimately not verified and was never broadcast or posted by RFA; several competing outlets that published immediately later issued corrections. Focus-group participants confirmed that many listeners deliberately withhold judgment on social-media claims until the same information is verified and broadcast by RFA. This hierarchy of trust constitutes a strategic asset: in a chaotic information environment, institutional credibility becomes a scarce and commercially valuable differentiator (Ireton & Posetti, 2018; Moyo et al., 2021; McQuail, 2010).

4.5. Emergence of Multimedia Journalism Practices

Digital transformation has catalysed multimedia storytelling. Journalists are expected to produce integrated packages combining text, audio, video, photographs and graphics. An FGD discussant explained:

“We produce stories using integrated formats that combine text, audio, video, and images to enhance storytelling and keep audiences engaged... This approach has encouraged greater collaboration among reporters, editors, photographers, and technical staff.”

Multimedia practices expand professional roles, enhance narrative depth and help distinguish professional output from citizen-generated content (Pavlik, 2023; Sonni et al., 2024; Leung, 2018). They also increase the cognitive and technical demands placed on individual journalists, reinforcing the need for sustained capacity building.

5. Discussion

The five strategies documented above do not operate in isolation; they form an interdependent editorial system. Faster production and mobile journalism create the capacity for cross-platform distribution; cross-platform distribution multiplies the surfaces on which misinformation can appear, thereby elevating the importance of verification; multimedia storytelling both exploits and intensifies these dynamics. Taken together, they illustrate a hybrid model of gatekeeping in which professional journalists retain final authority over verification and framing while selectively incorporating audience participation, platform logics and algorithmic signals (Bruns, 2008; Bonini & Monclús, 2021; Pavlik, 2023).

Audience participation actively influences editorial selection and framing in two principal ways. First, real-time comments, shares and WhatsApp feedback loops signal which topics generate high engagement; editors reported prioritising follow-up stories or expanding coverage of issues that attract sustained audience discussion. Second, user-generated tips and video clips submitted via social platforms are routinely evaluated as potential story leads, subject to the same multi-source verification regime. Platform algorithms likewise shape editorial decisions: participants noted that Facebook’s ranking of video content and YouTube’s recommendation system have encouraged greater investment in short-form visual packages and live streaming, because these formats achieve higher algorithmic visibility and therefore greater organic reach. Editorial autonomy is retained, however, because final selection and framing remain under professional control; algorithms and audience signals are treated as inputs rather than determinants.

This hybridity is particularly significant in the Tanzanian and broader African context. Where Western debates sometimes frame digital disruption as a binary choice between legacy authority and participatory openness, RFA’s experience suggests a third path: the deliberate retention of professional standards as a public-service and commercial differentiator, combined with pragmatic adoption of digital tools that extend reach and efficiency. Credibility, rather than pure speed or virality, emerges as the station’s most defensible asset (Mabweazara, 2014; Ojwang, 2023; Spurk & Katunzi, 2024).

Nevertheless, the model contains unresolved tensions. The pressure for multi-skilling intensifies labour and risks burnout. Platform dependency exposes the

newsroom to algorithmic opacity and sudden changes in visibility or monetisation rules. The ethical integration of artificial intelligence remains cautious and under-specified. Sustaining the hybrid model will therefore require continuous investment in training, clear editorial guidelines on AI use, and organisational cultures that protect verification time even under competitive pressure (De-Lima-Santos, 2022; Calvo-Rubio & Rojas-Torrijos, 2024; Ferrucci & Kuhn, 2022).

6. Conclusion and Implications

Radio Free Africa has responded to digital communication technologies by developing a coherent suite of editorial strategies centred on production agility, mobile multi-skilling, cross-platform distribution, rigorous verification and multimedia storytelling. Participants reported that these strategies have enabled the station to preserve institutional credibility while expanding its perceived audience footprint, particularly among younger and urban listeners. The case demonstrates that conventional radio in resource-constrained African settings need not choose between professional standards and digital relevance; hybrid gatekeeping offers a viable, if demanding, path.

For media practitioners, the findings underscore the strategic value of treating verification not as a cost but as a core competitive advantage, and of investing in the hybrid competencies that multimedia and cross-platform work require. For journalism educators, curricula must integrate mobile journalism, platform-specific storytelling, fact-checking methodologies and AI ethics. For policymakers and regulators, support for continuous professional development and for infrastructure that reduces the rural-urban digital divide will strengthen the capacity of local broadcasters to serve as trusted information anchors.

Future research should examine the long-term sustainability of hybrid editorial models, audience perceptions of AI-assisted content, and comparative patterns across other East African radio markets, ideally incorporating independent audience and platform metrics to move beyond self-reported perceptions of reach and trust.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Bonini, T. (2019). Radio in the Digital Age: New Practices, New Business Models. *Journal of Radio & Audio Media*.
- Bonini, T., & Monclús, B. (2021). *Radio Audiences and Participation in the Digital Era*. Routledge.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Bruns, A. (2008). *Blogs, Wikipedia, Second Life, and Beyond: From Production to Prod Usage*. Peter Lang.
- Calvo-Rubio, L. M., & Rojas-Torrijos, J. L. (2024). Criteria for Journalistic Quality in the

- Use of Artificial Intelligence. *Communication & Society*, 37, 247-259.
<https://doi.org/10.15581/003.37.2.247-259>
- Chan-Olmsted, S. M., Wang, R., & Shin, S. (2020). Media Branding and Engagement in Digital Environments. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 17, 189-207.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches* (2nd ed.). SAGE.
- De-Lima-Santos, M. F. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Journalism: Mapping the Field. *Journalism Practice*, 16, 1350-1365.
- Diang'a, R., & Murunga, G. (2021). Social Media and Agenda setting in African Journalism. *African Journalism Studies*, 42, 45-62.
- Ferrucci, P., & Kuhn, T. (2022). The Influence of Metrics on Journalism Practice. *Digital Journalism*, 10, 345-362.
- Gondwe, G., & Modile, A. (2024). Digital Newspaper and Journalism Practices in Tanzania: What Are Journalists' Perspectives? *African Journalism Studies*, 44, 171-186.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2024.2340632>
- Green, D. P., Groves, D. W., Manda, C., Montano, B., & Rahmani, B. (2024). The Effects of Independent Local Radio on Tanzanian Public Opinion: Evidence from a Planned Natural Experiment. *The Journal of Politics*, 86, 1-18.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/726964>
- Ireton, C., & Posetti, J. (2018). *Journalism, 'Fake News' and Disinformation: Handbook for Journalism Education and Training*. UNESCO.
- Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York University Press.
- Jenkins, H., Ito, M., & Boyd, D. (2016). *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era*. Polity Press.
- Leung, L. (2018). Generational Differences in MEDIA use and Multi-Skilling. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 23, 1-18.
- Mabweazara, H. M. (2014). *Digital Technologies and the Evolving African Newsroom: Towards an African Digital Journalism Epistemology*. Routledge.
- Madrid-Morales, D., & Ireri, K. (2021). Disruptions and Transformations of Digital media in Africa. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 13, 3-16.
https://doi.org/10.1386/jams_00030_2
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's Mass Communication Theory* (6th ed.). SAGE.
- Moyo, D., Mare, A., & Mabweazara, H. M. (2021). Editorial: Social Media, the Press, and the Crisis of Disinformation in Africa. *Communicatio*, 46, 1-6.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02500167.2020.1862966>
- Mwanzi, J. M., & Zacharia, M. (2025). News Business in Contemporary Framework: How Digital Platforms Disrupt Traditional Media Workflows in Tanzania. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 13, 629-646. <https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/21950>
- Nindhasari, Y. (2024). Digital Radio Transformation and Audience Engagement. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 68, 88-105.
- Ojwang, B. O. (2023). The Language of Audience Engagement in Converged Radio through Facebook and Twitter: The Case of NRG Radio and Ghetto Radio in Nairobi, Kenya. In S. Tsarwe, & S. Chiumbu (Eds.), *Converged Radio, Youth and Urbanity in Africa* (pp. 185-208). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19417-7_10
- Pavlik, J. V. (2023). Journalism in the Age of AI: Continuity and Change. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 100, 5-25.

- Salaverría, R. (2019). Digital Journalism: 25 Years of Research. Review Article. *Media and Communication*, 7, 1-12.
- Scanlon, P. et al. (2020). Adaptive Gatekeeping in Digital Radio Newsrooms. *Journalism Practice*, 14, 567-584.
- Shoemaker, P. J., & Vos, T. P. (2009). *Gatekeeping Theory*. Routledge.
- Sonni, A. F., Hafied, H., Irwanto, I., & Latuheru, R. (2024). Digital Newsroom Transformation: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices, News Narratives, and Ethical Challenges. *Journalism and Media*, 5, 1554-1570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia5040097>
- Spurk, C., & Katunzi, A. (2024). The Quality of Radio Journalism in Tanzania: Empowering Citizens or at a Crossroads? *Journalism*, 25, 1977-1998. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14648849231214051>
- Surjatmodjo, S. et al. (2024). Misinformation Spread in Digital Media Environments. *The Information Society*, 40, 210-225.
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2020). Deepfakes and Disinformation: Exploring the Impact of Synthetic Political Video on Deception, Uncertainty, and Trust in News. *Social Media + Society*, 6, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120903408>
- Visnovsky, J., & Radosinska, J. (2015). Online Journalism and Multi-Skilling: Professional Challenges. *Communication Today*, 6, 84-97.
- Westlund, O. (2013). Mobile News: A Review and Model of Journalism in an Age of Mobile Media. *Digital Journalism*, 1, 6-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2012.740273>
- White, D. M. (1950). The "Gate Keeper": A Case Study in the Selection of News. *Journalism Quarterly*, 27, 383-390. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107769905002700403>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). SAGE.
- Zhao, Y. (2024). Journalism Branding on Social Media Platforms. *Journalism Practice*, 18, 223-240.