

# Harnessing Emerging Technologies and Data-Driven Strategies in School Leadership: The Greek Public Education System Case Study

Charilaos Lavranos

Faculty of Social Sciences, Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece

Email: lavranos.charilaos@ac.eap.gr

**How to cite this paper:** Lavranos, C. (2025). Harnessing Emerging Technologies and Data-Driven Strategies in School Leadership: The Greek Public Education System Case Study. *Creative Education*, 16, 1080-1096.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2025.168067>

**Received:** June 23, 2025

**Accepted:** August 12, 2025

**Published:** August 15, 2025

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and

Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative

Commons Attribution International

License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive review of how emerging technologies, particularly Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, and smart infrastructures, are reshaping school leadership and governance. Drawing from both international literature and contextual examples from the Greek public education system, the study examines the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations of integrating data-informed practices into school administration. The paper first outlines the theoretical foundations of digital educational leadership, data governance, and adaptive institutional change. It then explores specific technological domains (AI-powered decision support systems, learning analytics, blockchain credentialing, chatbots, and IoT applications), highlighting their administrative potential and pedagogical implications. Using Greece as a case study, it analyzes the role of national platforms such as mySchool, eClass, and edupass, while identifying critical implementation barriers such as digital literacy gaps, infrastructure inequities, and legal ambiguities. The paper culminates in a set of policy proposals centered on strategic leadership development, ethical data practices, inclusive digital infrastructures, and participatory governance models. Emphasizing that digital transformation must be pedagogically grounded and equity-driven, the paper argues that AI in school governance should serve not merely as a tool for efficiency, but as a catalyst for ethical, inclusive, and adaptive educational change. By synthesizing theoretical insights with practice-based evidence, this review contributes to the global conversation on AI and Open Access in education, while offering actionable pathways for the future of school leadership in digitally evolving public systems.

## Keywords

Artificial Intelligence in Education, Digital School Leadership, Data-Driven Governance, Educational Technology Policy, Public Education Systems,

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the discourse surrounding educational transformation has increasingly acknowledged the critical role of digital technologies and data-driven strategies in improving school leadership and governance (OECD, 2021). The digitalization of public administration is no longer limited to bureaucratic efficiency; it now intersects profoundly with educational quality, equity, and responsiveness. In this context, school leadership is undergoing a fundamental redefinition, from a traditionally managerial role to one that encompasses data literacy, strategic foresight, and adaptive digital governance (Fullan, 2020; Hargreaves & Braun, 2019).

The convergence of artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, blockchain, and Internet of Things (IoT) is reshaping the architecture of education systems worldwide. These technologies are increasingly used to support policy formulation, monitor school performance, personalize learning, and automate administrative processes (Selwyn, 2017). Beyond their technical utility, they reflect evolving value orientations and priorities in education governance, raising critical questions about transparency, accountability, and ethical design (Sun et al., 2024). AI, in particular, is being positioned not only as a tool for optimizing resource allocation but also as a mechanism for augmenting decision-making in complex, data-rich educational ecosystems.

Recent bibliometric analyses confirm that digital technology acts as a driver of systemic transformation, influencing curriculum design, leadership models, and institutional cultures across global educational landscapes (Wang et al., 2024; Criollo-C et al., 2024). Moreover, emerging work highlights the pivotal role of AI-enhanced pedagogical innovation, noting that adaptive technologies and real-time analytics are accelerating equity-oriented reforms and data-informed leadership practices (Bayaga, 2025).

In many countries, emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT) are increasingly deployed in the educational sector to enhance administrative effectiveness, support pedagogical decision-making, and foster participatory school cultures (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; Williamson & Eynon, 2020). These technologies promise not only technical optimization but also a deeper cultural shift: a reimagining of how school systems operate, how decisions are made, and how leadership practices are shaped in the 21st century.

While much of the existing literature focuses on systems with advanced digital infrastructure, such as the United Kingdom, Singapore, and Estonia (UNESCO, 2022), there is a growing need to explore the adaptation and implications of such innovations in different socio-political contexts. This paper focuses on the case of

Greece, a country with a centralized educational system, significant institutional inertia, and yet growing momentum toward digital transformation, especially in response to the pressures of the COVID-19 pandemic.

In the Greek public education system, school principals and administrative personnel are increasingly called upon to engage with a range of digital platforms and datasets, from student information systems such as mySchool to hybrid learning environments like eClass and national coordination tools such as edupass. At the same time, these actors face complex challenges: fragmented infrastructures, legal ambiguities surrounding data governance, disparities in digital competencies, and a lack of cohesive strategic planning at the national level.

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, it provides a comprehensive review of the theoretical and practical implications of integrating emerging technologies into school administration. Second, it offers a contextualized exploration of these dynamics in the Greek public sector, drawing insights from recent developments, pilot projects, and policy frameworks.

More specifically, the paper addresses the following key questions:

- How can emerging technologies support a more informed, equitable, and participatory model of school leadership?
- What are the institutional and cultural prerequisites for effective data-driven governance in education?
- What practical tools and frameworks are currently used in the Greek context, and what challenges hinder their optimal deployment?
- What policy directions can support a sustainable and ethical digital transformation of school administration?

To answer these questions, the paper adopts a review-based methodology, combining international scholarly literature with selected examples from the Greek system. It builds on the recognition that school leadership in the digital era must go beyond technical adoption, it must be pedagogically grounded, ethically sensitive, and institutionally supported (Selwyn, 2016).

This inquiry is particularly timely. The European Commission's Digital Education Action Plan (2021-2027) emphasizes the importance of enhancing the digital capacities of education systems, including governance mechanisms (European Commission, 2020). Simultaneously, the global edtech industry continues to expand, often faster than regulatory frameworks can adapt. In this fluid environment, national school systems, particularly those within the public sector, must navigate a delicate balance between innovation, inclusion, and institutional coherence.

The Greek case, as this paper will show, reveals both the potential and the fragility of digital transformation in school governance. It demonstrates that meaningful innovation is not merely a matter of infrastructure or software deployment. Rather, it requires vision, professional development, trust-building, and policy alignment. School administrators are no longer passive users of digital tools—they are becoming data stewards, digital mediators, and innovation leaders.

By framing the discussion within a broader conceptual and empirical context, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing conversation about reimagining school governance through emerging technologies, while highlighting the critical role of leadership in bridging the gap between data and educational purpose.

## 2. Theoretical Framework and Methodological Approach

The integration of emerging technologies into school administration is not merely a technical development, it is fundamentally embedded in a complex web of conceptual frameworks. These include theories of educational leadership, digital transformation, data governance, and ethical technology use. This section outlines the theoretical underpinnings that inform this study and clarifies the methodological approach employed.

### 2.1. Educational Leadership in the Digital Era

Educational leadership has traditionally focused on instructional guidance, organizational management, and stakeholder collaboration (Leithwood et al., 2020). However, the rise of digital tools and data-intensive practices has shifted the landscape. School leaders are now expected to possess digital competencies, navigate platforms, interpret analytics, and implement technology-enhanced decision-making. This evolution reflects the broader transformation of the principal's role into a data-informed leader, capable of aligning technological tools with pedagogical vision (Schildkamp, 2019).

A key component of this shift is the concept of data-informed decision making (DIDM). Unlike data-driven models that often prioritize quantification and performance metrics, DIDM encourages school leaders to contextualize data within local educational realities, values, and goals (Datnow & Park, 2014). In the Greek context, where centralization and normative policies dominate, fostering such contextualized leadership is both a challenge and an opportunity.

### 2.2. Digital Transformation and Public Sector Governance

The digitalization of public administration has introduced new governance paradigms. Concepts like digital public services, open government, and smart governance have become integral to the conversation on education reform (Meijer & Bolívar, 2016). In school administration, digital transformation encompasses the digitization of records, communication systems, scheduling, attendance, and resource management. But it also raises questions about equity, agency, and digital rights.

International frameworks such as the UNESCO ICT Competency Framework for Teachers and the European DigCompEdu standard provide blueprints for evaluating the digital readiness of educators and administrators (Redecker, 2017). In Greece, however, the integration of such standards into school leadership practices is still at an early stage, often relying on individual initiative rather than systemic implementation.

Moreover, organizational change theory suggests that digital transformation in schools is not solely about tools, it is about vision, culture, and professional learning communities (Fullan & Langworthy, 2014). Thus, the theoretical lens of adaptive leadership (Heifetz et al., 2009) becomes particularly relevant. School leaders must engage in adaptive processes, involving experimentation, participatory governance, and a willingness to challenge existing norms.

### 2.3. Data Ethics and Educational Responsibility

As schools collect increasing amounts of student and teacher data, ranging from academic performance and attendance to behavioral records and well-being indicators, there is a pressing need to embed ethical principles in data use. Data ethics in education encompasses privacy, consent, transparency, and the avoidance of algorithmic bias (Floridi & Taddeo, 2016).

In the European context, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provides a legal framework for educational data governance, yet its implementation in schools varies widely. Greek public schools, in particular, often lack internal data protocols, dedicated data officers, or staff training on digital ethics. School leaders are thus faced with the dual burden of ensuring compliance while also cultivating a culture of trust and responsible innovation. This tension underscores the need for pedagogically sound digital leadership, leadership that not only harnesses technology for efficiency, but also for human flourishing, inclusion, and critical reflection (Selwyn, 2016).

## 3. Methods

This paper adopts a narrative review methodology, aiming to synthesize international scholarly literature and contextual data relevant to the integration of emerging technologies in school leadership, particularly within the public education sector. The narrative review approach is well suited for fields undergoing rapid conceptual and technological evolution, such as educational governance in the digital era. Unlike systematic reviews, which emphasize exhaustive coverage and quantification, narrative reviews allow for analytical depth and contextual interpretation (Baumeister & Leary, 1997; Ferrari, 2015). This method is particularly appropriate for exploring underrepresented national contexts, such as Greece, where empirical data are often fragmented or emergent.

The literature review was conducted between March and May 2025, drawing upon academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar. In addition, policy documents and reports from reputable institutions such as the European Commission, OECD, and UNESCO were included to align the review with current international directions in educational transformation. A combination of Boolean operators and keyword strings was used, including: “artificial intelligence in education”, “digital school leadership”, “data-driven decision making in schools”, “blockchain in education”, “IoT in school infrastructure”, “Greek education system”, “educational technology policy”, and “school

governance and digital transformation”.

To ensure transparency and replicability, the following inclusion criteria were applied:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2015 and 2025
- Sources in English or Greek
- Focus on primary and secondary education, educational leadership, and public sector governance
- Institutional reports and white papers relevant to public education systems
- Exclusion criteria included
- Studies focused solely on higher education or the private sector
- Articles lacking theoretical depth or policy relevance
- Conference abstracts, opinion pieces, or non-peer-reviewed material
- Publications inaccessible in full-text form

Following the application of these criteria, a total of 83 documents were selected and included in the review. These were analyzed using a thematic coding strategy, enabling the organization of material around five key dimensions, directly aligned with the paper’s research questions:

- The strategic role of data in school governance
- Applications of emerging technologies in educational administration
- Case-based insights from the Greek public education system
- Key challenges and ethical implications
- Policy proposals for sustainable and future-oriented digital leadership

This structured narrative format enables both analytical rigor and contextual flexibility, allowing the review to capture the multi-layered realities of digital transformation in school leadership. The objective of this methodological approach is not to quantify effects but to critically examine conceptual trends, identify implementation gaps, and frame actionable insights that are pedagogically grounded, ethically robust, and policy-relevant within the evolving ecosystem of Greek public education (Suri, 2020; Snyder, 2019).

## 4. Emerging Technologies in School Administration

The digital transformation of school administration is being driven by a suite of rapidly evolving technologies. These tools not only reshape technical processes such as attendance management and resource allocation but also expand the conceptual horizons of educational leadership. This section explores the major categories of emerging technologies that are being integrated, albeit at varying degrees, into school governance systems worldwide. Each technological domain is examined in terms of its potential applications, limitations, and relevance to educational equity and innovation.

### 4.1. Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most promising yet controversial tools in educational administration. In the context of school leadership,

AI-powered systems can facilitate early warning mechanisms, automated performance monitoring, and resource optimization. For example, algorithms can analyze attendance data, academic progress, and behavioral indicators to identify at-risk students and suggest targeted interventions (Luckin et al., 2016).

Moreover, AI-based decision support systems can assist school principals in complex resource management scenarios, such as optimal teacher allocation or scheduling conflicts. Platforms like Knewton, IBM Watson Education, and Google's AI suite offer tools for predictive analytics and personalized learning pathways (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). However, such systems require a robust foundation of clean, well-structured data, something often lacking in public education systems like Greece's.

Critically, while AI can enhance administrative efficiency, it also raises concerns about transparency, accountability, and algorithmic bias. Therefore, ethical frameworks must be established to ensure responsible use, especially when student well-being and equity are at stake (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

#### **4.2. Big Data and Learning Analytics**

The increasing availability of educational data has led to the proliferation of learning analytics, a field that examines data generated by learners and educators to improve outcomes and support decision-making. In school administration, big data systems can help identify trends, detect systemic inefficiencies, and allocate resources more strategically (Ferguson, 2012).

For instance, Greek platforms such as mySchool and eClass gather vast amounts of data on student attendance, grades, disciplinary records, and teaching activity. Although these systems are primarily designed for administrative reporting, their potential for formative analytics remains largely untapped. With proper training and tools, school leaders could use this data to inform school improvement plans, support vulnerable student populations, and evaluate program effectiveness.

The promise of big data in education lies in its capacity to generate actionable insights. Yet it also requires a data-literate leadership culture, institutional readiness, and adequate safeguards against data misuse.

#### **4.3. Blockchain for Educational Integrity**

Blockchain technology offers a decentralized and immutable ledger system, making it an ideal candidate for managing educational credentials, student records, and certification processes. In school administration, blockchain can be used to issue tamper-proof diplomas, track student academic history, and manage consent protocols for sensitive data (Grech & Camilleri, 2017).

Although still in early adoption phases, blockchain pilots in education are gaining traction in countries such as Estonia and South Korea. These systems promise to reduce bureaucratic overhead, prevent fraud, and enhance cross-border recognition of qualifications.

In Greece, the use of blockchain in education remains experimental. However,

its long-term potential, particularly in securing student records and streamlining public sector verification processes, is significant. The challenge lies in aligning such innovation with legal frameworks, interoperability standards, and cultural acceptance within traditionally hierarchical education systems.

#### **4.4. Chatbots and Digital Assistants**

Administrative overload is a common issue in schools, particularly those with limited staff or high volumes of students. Chatbots and AI-powered digital assistants can play a crucial role in addressing this issue by automating routine interactions such as providing schedules, generating certificates, or answering frequently asked questions.

In the international landscape, school districts in Canada, the U.S., and parts of Asia have begun experimenting with digital assistants for parental communication, internal coordination, and student guidance (Holmes et al., 2021). In Greece, this potential remains largely untapped. However, integrating chatbot functions into platforms such as mySchool could significantly improve communication flows and reduce administrative burden.

Such tools must be carefully designed to respect data protection regulations and ensure accessibility across diverse user populations, including students with disabilities.

#### **4.5. Internet of Things (IoT) and Smart Infrastructure**

The concept of the smart school extends beyond software into the physical environment. IoT technologies, networks of connected sensors and devices, can monitor energy usage, classroom occupancy, air quality, and equipment functionality (Al-Fuqaha et al., 2015). These data streams can be analyzed in real-time to optimize resource use and maintenance schedules.

From a school administration perspective, IoT offers opportunities to improve infrastructure planning, health and safety, and sustainability practices. For example, smart lighting systems can adjust to usage patterns, while motion sensors can enhance security protocols.

While high-cost infrastructure investments may limit widespread IoT adoption in public schools, pilot initiatives in urban municipalities and EU-funded projects (e.g., Horizon Europe) are opening new paths for innovation. Administrators must be equipped with technical knowledge and support systems to leverage these technologies meaningfully.

#### **4.6. The Cultural Shift Behind Technological Innovation**

The integration of emerging technologies into school administration is not merely a matter of adopting tools, it signals a broader cultural and organizational transformation. School leaders are increasingly required to bridge the divide between human-centric pedagogical values and data-intensive technical systems (Selwyn, 2016).

This demands a pedagogical orientation to technology use, ensuring that innovations serve educational goals rather than dominate them. It also requires a rethinking of administrative professionalism: not as bureaucratic compliance, but as strategic leadership, collaborative engagement, and ethical stewardship.

## **5. Practical Applications and Challenges in the Greek Public Sector**

The Greek public education system is characterized by its centralized governance, deeply institutionalized procedures, and strong regulatory traditions. Within this context, digital technologies and data-driven practices have begun to permeate school administration, especially in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and broader European digitalization strategies. However, the integration of these innovations remains uneven, often facing systemic, cultural, and operational barriers. This section explores real-world applications of emerging technologies in Greek schools and critically examines the challenges that impede their widespread and effective adoption.

### **5.1. Existing Platforms and Administrative Tools**

A number of national digital platforms support school operations in Greece. The most prominent among them is mySchool, a Ministry of Education-managed information system that consolidates student records, attendance data, teacher profiles, and timetables. As a centralized database, mySchool facilitates administrative tasks, statistical reporting, and communication between schools and regional education authorities. Despite its functional advantages, the platform has limitations in user interface design, interoperability, and real-time analytics capabilities.

Another key tool is eClass, a digital learning platform that gained traction during the COVID-19 lockdowns. Although its primary use is pedagogical, eClass also provides school leaders with indirect indicators of student engagement, such as assignment submissions and activity tracking. These data could be valuable for early intervention strategies, but are rarely used administratively due to limited awareness and training.

During the pandemic, the government also deployed edupass, a platform for managing public health declarations and vaccination certifications in schools. Though initially crisis-driven, edupass demonstrated the feasibility of real-time, centralized data coordination, a capacity that could be extended to other domains of school management.

Collectively, these tools offer a digital foundation for school administration in Greece. However, they remain fragmented, underutilized, and often disconnected from broader institutional goals.

To better contextualize the analysis of digital governance and infrastructure in the Greek public education system, it is essential to consider current system-level indicators:

- According to the European Commission's Education and Training Monitor

(2023), approximately 91% of Greek schools at primary and secondary level have access to basic broadband infrastructure, while only 34% report access to high-speed broadband (>100 Mbps)—placing Greece below the EU average (European Commission, 2024).

- In terms of administrative digitalization, the mySchool platform, which serves as the central information system for school administration in Greece, reports over 1.4 million registered users, including students, teachers, and administrative staff (DIOPHANTUS, 2025). Despite its wide adoption, user experience studies suggest uneven levels of digital literacy and significant variance in the effective use of available features, particularly among school principals and teaching staff (OECD, 2022).

This data snapshot underscores both the progress made and the structural limitations that shape the implementation of emerging technologies in the Greek public-school context. It also highlights the critical need for investment not only in infrastructure, but also in human capacity-building and systems interoperability.

## 5.2. Barriers to Effective Implementation

Despite the presence of these platforms, several challenges persist that hinder the systemic adoption of emerging technologies in Greek school leadership.

### 5.2.1. Digital Literacy Gaps

Many school principals and staff lack the digital competencies necessary to use these tools strategically. Although the Ministry has implemented professional development initiatives (e.g., TPD B-level certification), access remains uneven, especially in rural and under-resourced schools (Koutrouba & Karageorgou, 2021). Moreover, professional development tends to focus on tool usage rather than critical data interpretation or ethical considerations.

### 5.2.2. Cultural and Organizational Resistance

The Greek educational system has historically favored hierarchical, compliance-based leadership models. As a result, school leaders may hesitate to adopt participatory decision-making processes enabled by digital platforms (Chatzidaki & Michalopoulou, 2022). Innovation is often seen as an additional burden rather than an opportunity for systemic improvement.

### 5.2.3. Lack of Interoperability and Data Integration

Current digital systems operate in silos, limiting their potential for holistic decision-making. For instance, mySchool does not directly communicate with eClass or edupass, preventing a unified view of student well-being, academic performance, and administrative needs. This fragmentation not only reduces efficiency but also increases the cognitive and administrative load on principals.

### 5.2.4. Legal and Ethical Ambiguities

While Greece is subject to the European Union's General Data Protection Regu-

lation (GDPR), practical guidelines for data governance in schools are either absent or inconsistently applied. School leaders often face uncertainty regarding who has access to what data, under what conditions, and with what accountability mechanisms. This legal gray area fosters risk aversion and inhibits innovation (Daoutzoglou, 2023).

### **5.2.5. Infrastructure Inequities**

Reliable internet access, functional hardware, and technical support remain inconsistent across regions. Schools in remote or economically disadvantaged areas are disproportionately affected, exacerbating existing educational inequalities and limiting opportunities for digital transformation.

## **5.3. Good Practices and Innovation Pockets**

Despite these obstacles, there are noteworthy cases of innovation that highlight the potential of emerging technologies in Greek school administration. One example is the use of Google Workspace for Education in several schools to manage collaborative planning, resource sharing, and digital archiving. These tools have helped create institutional memory and streamline task management, especially in schools where leadership turnover is frequent.

Another promising initiative involves local education authorities that have begun experimenting with dashboard visualizations derived from mySchool data. These dashboards help school directors track absenteeism, disciplinary issues, and academic trends over time, providing an entry point into data-informed leadership practices.

Moreover, some schools have employed online participatory tools, such as Google Forms, Mentimeter, or national platforms, to solicit input from parents, students, and teachers on school planning and policy decisions. This participatory approach not only improves legitimacy but also fosters trust and engagement.

These examples demonstrate that bottom-up innovation is possible, particularly when supported by digitally competent leaders and open school cultures.

## **5.4. The Role of the Principal as Innovation Broker**

In this evolving landscape, the role of the school principal is critical. No longer a mere administrative manager, the principal is now expected to function as a data steward, a technology mediator, and an institutional leader. This role requires a unique blend of pedagogical insight, technological awareness, and organizational capacity.

Principals must be able to:

- Interpret data in meaningful ways;
- Lead school teams in adopting new tools;
- Balance efficiency with ethical responsibility;
- Cultivate a culture of continuous improvement.

However, the realization of this role is highly dependent on the presence of institutional supports, professional autonomy, and clear policy guidance.

## 6. Policy Proposals and Future Directions

As the education sector continues to adapt to the accelerating pace of technological change, it is critical that policy frameworks evolve to support sustainable, ethical, and pedagogically aligned digital governance. The successful integration of emerging technologies in school administration cannot rely on isolated tools or charismatic leadership alone. Instead, it requires coherent policies, inclusive strategic planning, and a system-wide culture of innovation.

Drawing on the preceding analysis of international frameworks and the Greek context, this section proposes a series of interrelated policy recommendations and outlines future directions for research and practice.

### 6.1. Institutionalizing Digital Leadership Frameworks

One of the most urgent needs is the development of a national framework for digital leadership in education. Such a framework should go beyond general digital competence standards to specify the roles, responsibilities, and expectations of school leaders in the digital era.

This framework should:

- Be aligned with international models such as DigCompEdu and UNESCO's ICT CFT;
- Include competency domains in data ethics, digital decision-making, platform management, and stakeholder engagement;
- Provide differentiated pathways for professional growth depending on school size, context, and leadership level.

Crucially, it should be co-developed with practitioners to ensure feasibility and relevance.

### 6.2. Investing in Continuous Professional Development (CPD)

Digital transformation is not a one-time process but an evolving journey. Therefore, investment in continuous, modular, and blended CPD programs is essential. These programs should focus on:

- Applied data literacy: helping principals and leadership teams interpret school data for planning;
- Ethical data use: exploring GDPR compliance, transparency, and community trust;
- Change management: equipping leaders to foster buy-in and navigate resistance;
- Collaborative digital tools: training in cloud-based platforms for participatory governance and school planning.

In the Greek context, CPD should be institutionalized through regional support structures and linked to career progression incentives.

### 6.3. Creating Interoperable and User-Centric Platforms

The long-term success of digital school governance depends on the interoperabil-

ity and usability of digital tools. Ministries of Education should adopt a platform architecture approach, in which different systems (e.g., student data, learning analytics, infrastructure management) can “talk” to one another via APIs and shared data standards.

User-centered design is equally vital. School principals and administrative staff should be actively involved in the co-design and testing of digital tools, ensuring they reflect real needs and are accessible across all educational levels. Additionally, open data principles, balanced with privacy protections, can enable community insights, cross-institutional comparisons, and research collaborations.

#### **6.4. Prioritizing Ethical and Inclusive Digitalization**

As technology becomes more embedded in school operations, ethical questions become unavoidable. Policymakers must prioritize:

- Clear data governance protocols, including roles, responsibilities, and sanctions for misuse;
- Parental and student engagement in data awareness, rights, and consent;
- Bias auditing mechanisms for AI tools used in educational decision-making.

Inclusion must also remain central. Equity-focused digitalization means ensuring all schools, urban and rural, affluent and under-resourced—have access to the infrastructure, technical support, and training needed to fully participate in digital transformation. This may involve tiered funding schemes, targeted technical assistance, and special provisions for vulnerable communities.

#### **6.5. Encouraging Networks and Innovation Clusters**

Digital governance thrives when schools do not operate in isolation. Ministries and regional authorities should invest in school innovation networks, enabling:

- Sharing of best practices in digital leadership and administration;
- Joint development of open-source administrative tools;
- Cross-school CPD and mentoring programs.

In the Greek setting, where vertical policy directives dominate, such horizontal collaboration is both novel and necessary. Pilot networks can be built around school clusters with mentoring from academic partners and municipalities. Additionally, innovation clusters could serve as testing grounds for new technologies, providing structured feedback to developers and policymakers alike.

#### **6.6. Aligning Digital Strategy with Educational Purpose**

Perhaps the most foundational policy recommendation is that digital strategy must be pedagogically grounded. School leadership should not be reduced to compliance with technological rollouts or administrative efficiency metrics. Instead, digital tools must serve the deeper goals of education: inclusion, empowerment, engagement, and democratic participation.

To that end:

- All digital policy documents should include pedagogical rationale sections;

- Leadership evaluations should include criteria for student and teacher voice;
- Schools should conduct regular digital climate audits to assess alignment between tools, goals, and experiences.

This shift from “digital-first” to “education-first with digital support” marks a crucial reorientation toward ethical, human-centered innovation.

### 6.7. Future Research and Data-Informed Policy Cycles

Future policy directions should be grounded in evidence and iterative learning. Governments and research institutions should support:

- Longitudinal studies on the impact of digital leadership practices;
- Comparative research across different school systems and countries;
- National datasets on digital maturity and equity gaps in school governance.

Additionally, data-informed policy cycles—in which school feedback, usage metrics, and system-level analytics inform revisions—can improve the responsiveness and effectiveness of reforms. Rather than static policy mandates, the future of digital school leadership lies in agile, participatory, and learning-based governance models.

### 6.8. Limitations

While this narrative review offers conceptual breadth and contextually grounded insights into the use of emerging technologies and data-driven strategies in school leadership, it is important to acknowledge its methodological limitations. First, the narrative nature of the review inherently entails subjective judgment in the selection, interpretation, and synthesis of sources, which may introduce selection bias (Ferrari, 2015). Second, despite efforts to incorporate both academic and policy literature, the study may be affected by publication bias, as it relies on publicly available peer-reviewed sources and institutional reports, potentially underrepresenting unpublished or non-indexed innovations at the school level. Third, the analysis focuses primarily on secondary evidence and conceptual frameworks, and does not include empirical data collection, such as interviews or field-based observations. This may limit the granularity and generalizability of the findings, particularly with regard to practice-based implementations of digital tools in specific school contexts. Lastly, while the Greek public education system is used as a case study, comparative cross-country analysis is beyond the scope of this review. Future studies could complement this work by integrating mixed-methods empirical research to validate or challenge the assumptions and proposals articulated herein.

## 7. Conclusions

The integration of emerging technologies and data-informed practices into school leadership marks a paradigm shift in educational governance. As this review has demonstrated, the school is no longer merely a locus of instruction, it is becoming a dynamic, data-rich environment in which decisions must be timely, transparent, and ethically sound. In this new era, school principals are not just administrators; they are digital leaders, innovation brokers, and agents of cultural transformation.

The convergence of technologies such as Artificial Intelligence, Big Data analytics, blockchain, and smart infrastructure presents both opportunities and dilemmas for public education systems. When properly harnessed, these tools can enhance efficiency, empower educators, and foster inclusive, participatory school cultures. Yet, without appropriate frameworks for data ethics, professional development, and systemic coordination, they risk exacerbating inequalities or undermining trust.

The case of Greece, as presented in this article, highlights the contradictions and potential of this transformation. On the one hand, national platforms like mySchool, eClass, and edupass offer a digital backbone for school administration. On the other hand, fragmentation, infrastructural disparities, and regulatory ambiguity often limit their transformative power. Despite institutional inertia, local innovations and practitioner-led initiatives signal a growing awareness that technology must serve pedagogy, not vice versa.

Crucially, the discourse on AI in education must not be reduced to technical efficiency or algorithmic precision. Rather, it must be framed within broader discussions about educational purpose, democratic governance, and human development. AI systems can support decision-making, flag emerging needs, and personalize interventions, but only if embedded in ethical, pedagogically aligned, and context-sensitive ecosystems.

The policy proposals outlined in this article converge on five core imperatives:

- Strategic leadership development, with explicit digital governance frameworks;
- Equity-oriented infrastructure investments, ensuring all schools can participate in innovation;
- Ethical safeguards, especially for data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and student rights;
- Interoperable, co-designed platforms, that respond to users' needs;
- Iterative, evidence-based policy cycles, fostering reflexivity and adaptation.

Going forward, research must continue to explore how emerging technologies can amplify, rather than replace, the human dimensions of education. School governance must remain rooted in pedagogy, dialogue, and critical reflection, even as it embraces digital tools.

## Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- Al-Fuqaha, A., Guizani, M., Mohammadi, M., Aledhari, M., & Ayyash, M. (2015). Internet of Things: A Survey on Enabling Technologies, Protocols, and Applications. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 17, 2347-2376. <https://doi.org/10.1109/comst.2015.2444095>
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1997). Writing Narrative Literature Reviews. *Review of General Psychology*, 1, 311-320. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.1.3.311>

- Bayaga, A. (2025). Leveraging AI-Enhanced and Emerging Technologies for Pedagogical Innovations in Higher Education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30, 1045-1072. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13122-y>
- Chatzidaki, A., & Michalopoulou, A. (2022). Educational Leadership and Change in Greek Primary Schools: Between Autonomy and Centralization. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36, 201-216.
- Criollo-C, S., González-Rodríguez, M., Guerrero-Arias, A., Urquiza-Aguiar, L. F., & Luján-Mora, S. (2024). A Review of Emerging Technologies and Their Acceptance in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, 14, Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14010010>
- Daoultzoglou, A. (2023). GDPR and Education: An Approach for E-Learning in Greek Schools. Open Education. *The Journal for Open and Distance Education and Educational Technology*, 19, 191-209. <https://doi.org/10.12681/jode.31195>
- Datnow, A., & Park, V. (2014). *Data-Driven Leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- DIOPHANTUS (2025). *My School Information System*. <https://www.cti.gr/en/cti-services/myschool-information-system/>
- European Commission (2020). *Digital Education Action Plan 2021-2027*. <https://education.ec.europa.eu>
- European Commission (2024). *Education and Training Monitor: Greece*. <https://op.europa.eu/webpub/eac/education-and-training-monitor/en/country-reports/greece.html>
- Ferguson, R. (2012). Learning Analytics: Drivers, Developments and Challenges. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 4, 304-317. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijtel.2012.051816>
- Ferrari, R. (2015). Writing Narrative Style Literature Reviews. *Medical Writing*, 24, 230-235. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2047480615z.000000000329>
- Floridi, L., & Taddeo, M. (2016). What Is Data Ethics? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 374, Article 20160360. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0360>
- Fullan, M. (2020). *The New Meaning of Educational Change* (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). *A Rich Seam: How New Pedagogies Find Deep Learning*. Pearson.
- Grech, A., & Camilleri, A. F. (2017). *Blockchain in Education*. Joint Research Centre, European Commission.
- Hargreaves, A., & Braun, H. (2019). *Leading for Equity: The Pursuit of Excellence in Education*. OECD Publishing.
- Heifetz, R., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). *The Practice of Adaptive Leadership*. Harvard Business Press.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Koutrouba, K., & Karageorgou, E. (2021). Digital Competences and the Greek Public School: Between Policy Goals and Implementation Barriers. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26, 2377-2392.
- Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven Strong Claims about Successful School Leadership Revisited. *School Leadership & Management*, 40, 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2019.1596077>

- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Un-Leashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson.
- Meijer, A., & Bolívar, M. P. R. (2016). Governing the Smart City: A Review of the Literature on Smart Urban Governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 82, 392-408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852314564308>
- OECD (2021). *Schooling Disrupted, Schooling Rethought: How the COVID-19 Pandemic Is Changing Education*. OECD Publishing.
- OECD (2022). *Digital Education Outlook: Pushing the Frontiers with AI, Block-Chain and Robotics*. OECD.
- Redecker, C. (2017). *European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Schildkamp, K. (2019). Data-Based Decision-Making for School Improvement: Research Insights and Gaps. *Educational Research*, 61, 257-273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2019.1625716>
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is Technology Good for Education?* Polity Press.
- Selwyn, N. (2017). *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781474235952>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature Review as a Research Methodology: An Overview and Guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sun, P., Huang, T., Ma, W., Gao, Z., & Cai, J. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Enabling Education Governance: Value Orientation, Key Issues, Path Optimization. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 12, 219-237. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2024.1211015>
- Suri, H. (2020). Ethical Considerations of Conducting Systematic Reviews in Educational Research. *Review of Educational Research*, 90, 83-118.
- UNESCO (2022). *Reimagining our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379707.locale=en>
- Wang, C., Chen, X., Yu, T., Liu, Y., & Jing, Y. (2024). Education Reform and Change Driven by Digital Technology: A Bibliometric Study from a Global Perspective. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, Article No. 256. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02717-y>
- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical Threads, Missing Links, and Future Directions in AI in Education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45, 223-235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic Review of Research on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Higher Education—Where Are the Educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16, Article No. 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>